

VIL Comments to the TRAI Consultation Paper on "Licensing Framework and Regulatory Mechanism for Submarine Cable Landing in India"

At the outset, we are thankful to the Authority for giving us this opportunity to provide our comments to the TRAI Consultation Paper on "Licensing Framework and Regulatory Mechanism for Submarine Cable Landing in India" dated 23.12.2022.

In this regard, please find below our comments on the questions raised in the above said consultation paper for kind consideration of the Authority.

Key Submissions

- The undersea cable sector has become one of the key sectors shaping the global economy and will continue to be the chief driver of internet growth. The Indo-Pacific region is home to the fastest growing undersea communication cable networks, making it imperative for India to be flexible and engage in international collaborations when it comes to subsea cable infrastructure expansion.
- 2. Due to policy push from Government and TRAI in tune with Digital India mission, Indian market is poised to experience significant market potential with huge growth of data centres as well as data consumption by telecom users with advent of 5G. Such huge growth would have to be backed up by availability of sufficient capacity through international submarine cable networks.
- 3. The cable laying and repair services should be classified as 'Critical & Essential Services' and have priority for 'Permits-In-Principle' and clearances from Government agencies. This should be in line with 'Essentiality Certificate (EC)' issued in E&P sector by DGH (Under Ministry of Petroleum & Natural Gas).
- 4. Thus, to encourage the timely deployment and repair of submarine cables, it is important that the processes related to lay, repair and maintain submarine cables, and set up Cable Landing Stations (CLS), are streamlined, fast-tracked, along with time-bound and single-window clearances. Presently, existing processes take lot of time and lead to extensive delays. In many cases, some of the clearances even take years to process.



- 5. Further, it is imperative that licensing and regulatory norms provide flexibility to encourage deployment and use of global infrastructure in terms of international submarine cable systems. Thus, we recommend that both (i) and (ii) condition, as stated in Para 2.10 be made applicable for an ILD licensee to seek permission/security clearance for laying and maintaining the submarine cable and setting up CLS in India.
- 6. An undersea cable repair vessel owned by Indian entity would be helpful, however, the proposed Indian entity must have its own cable depot and technical know-how of laying, repairing and maintaining submarine cable systems. Till the time, a sufficient ecosystem is built, there should be no mandate on Cable and CLS owners/consortium members to use the ship owned by the Indian entity to lay/repair submarine cables in Indian waters.
- 7. To ensure financial viability for undersea cable repair vessel to be owned by an Indian entity, it is recommended that the said entity should be Government backed and funded Public Private Consortium. Government involvement will also provide carrier/competition neutral and un-prejudiced nature of operations as well as help reduce lead time of approval processes.
- 8. Laying of Submarine Cables is a capital intensive exercise hence, to promote laying of submarine cables to connect coastal cities, we recommend that Government should facilitate a participative platform for interested parties to (a) Impart Technical Knowledge and competencies and (b) Basic Infra and statutory approval facilitation as well as (c) an investment model with Government backed and funded public-private consortium, which enables Equitable Participation and Rights.
- 9. Lastly, to encourage investment and utilization of the submarine cable systems and CLS, we recommend that the **charges paid by a TSP to other TSP in this regard, be allowed as pass through/deduction for License Fees/SUC payments.** Thus, following should be allowed as eligible deductions for TSPs:
 - a. Charges paid by one TSP to another TSP for sharing of its network.
 - b. Annual Access Facilitation Charges at CLS / Alternative Locations.
 - c. Annual Operation & Maintenance Charges at CLS / Alternative Locations.
 - d. Co-location charges.

Further, kindly find below our question-wise comments for Authority's kind consideration:



Question-wise Comments

Q.1 What limitations are being posed by existing licensing and regulatory provisions for laying submarine cables and setting up of CLS in India? Please answer with the detailed justification for changes required, if any.

- 1. With a fast growing interconnected world, the importance and criticality of submarine cable systems is understood and acknowledged globally. As the world is moving rapidly towards more and more digitized state of lifestyle and economy, it is putting prime focus on the fast growing Internet requirements and consumption, pooling in more investments in this domain, especially from tech giants across the world. Majority of international internet data including cloud and digital communication is being transmitted through undersea fibre-optic cables.
- The undersea cable sector has become one of the key sectors shaping the global economy and will continue to be the chief driver of internet growth. Due to speed of exchange of information through this medium in between countries and continents, it has proved itself as a catalyst for globalization and international engagement.
- 3. The Indo-Pacific region is home to the fastest growing undersea communication cable networks, making it **imperative for India to be flexible and engage in international collaborations** when it comes to subsea cable infrastructure expansion. Due to policy push from Government and TRAI in tune with Digital India mission, Indian market is poised to experience significant market potential with huge growth of data centres as well as data consumption by telecom users with advent of 5G. Such huge growth would have to be backed up by availability of sufficient capacity through international submarine cable networks.
- 4. We also agree with the TRAI's views, mentioned in its Consultation Paper, that India is set to register the highest growth in the Asia Pacific submarine cables industry, and the market size is expected to reach US\$ 78.6 Mn by 2030. Further, as global reliance is growing on the data carried by undersea cables, India's security interests have also strategically converged with submarine cables and space, and opened opportunities for international cooperation.
- 5. Keeping above opportunities and potential in mind, it should be a continued endeavor to utilize global infrastructure being created to cater to such global requirements, and



Government policy push should be to support growth and ease of doing business without restrictive policies.

- 6. While there are certain measures being taken to ease the approvals/clearances processes however, there is still substantial way to go. In this regard, we would like to highlight various processes of approvals/clearances required from Indian authorities, for laying and repairing of submarine cable systems, which needs to be reduced, streamlined and made time-bound.
 - a. Different ministries like Ministry of Communications, Ministry of Home Affairs and Ministry of Defense are involved in granting permission to the TSPs regarding regular operations and maintenance, laying and restoration of the submarine cables. It takes a lot of time to get the requisite permissions which directly impacts the business continuity of such projects.
 - b. The processes pertaining to crew of the cable laying ship to work in Indian waters and the ship itself to enter Indian waters are quite onerous and time consuming and require approvals from MHA and MOD.
 - c. Maximum time is consumed in customs import, conversion, re- export & reversion formalities and the vessels are held at ports for such clearances.

d. For example:

- i. A substantial bond needs to be placed for the ship before it can enter Indian waters.
- ii. Due to the variability in time taken to obtain visas from MoD and MHA, and crew rotations, the entire crew of the cable laying company need to get visas to work in Indian waters, which in turn can make the process even more time consuming.
- iii. Process pertaining to import of vessels at customs is too long & vessel is held up at port for Import/ Export formalities. Also, this process varies across different ports.
- iv. Many times, port clearances from Indian customs department are delayed due to non availability of custom Officials.
- e. Detailed list of the existing processes along with relevant recommendations for easing process of cable laying and repair, is enclosed herewith at *Annexure-A*.
- 7. Therefore, we would like to submit that the existing processes are very slow leading to extensive delays and in many cases the approvals take years to process. The process needs to be streamlined and fast tracked with time-bound approval processes.



- 8. Submarine cables form the basis of modern telecommunications and the Internet. The United Nations General Assembly (UNGA) has described submarine cables as 'critical communications infrastructure' as they carry majority of communication data across the world by using fibre-optic technology and is very important to the global economy.
- 9. We would urge that the cable laying and its repair/maintenance be classified as 'Critical Service' and should have priority for 'Permits- In -Principle' and Clearances from Government agencies.
- 10. Further, these services should be considered to be accorded 'Critical & Essential Services' certificate in line with 'Essentiality Certificate (EC)' issued in E&P sector by DGH (Under Ministry of Petroleum & Natural Gas). With EC, the goods & services are exempted from Customs Duty & IGST on vessel (on submission of Charter agreement between Vessel Owners & operators). Similar certification needs to be considered for Cable repair and laying jobs, being 'Critical & essential services'. Further, the Department of Telecommunications (DoT) should be nodal agency for issuance of such Certificate in case of Submarine cable systems.
- 11. Hence, we would request the Authority to recommend according status of 'Critical and Essential Services' for Submarine Cable systems laying and repair work, and also for issuance of 'Essentiality Certificate' as is issued in E&P sector by DGH.

Q.2 Which of the conditions, as stated in Para 2.10 be made applicable on the ILD licensee for applying permission /security clearance for laying and maintaining the submarine cable and setting up CLS in India? Please answer with the detailed justification.

- Almost a decade ago, OTTs accounted for a fraction of global traffic only, and now, they
 carry more than half of all Internet data and are the biggest investors in new cable
 systems. The major factors driving the market are increase in data traffic and investments
 by OTT providers to suffice their requirements. Companies such as Amazon, Apple, Meta,
 Google and Microsoft are influencing much of the investment in these cables. In
 particular, Google and Meta, whose global businesses require low latency, are the most
 aggressive in rolling out subsea capacity.
- 2. All this transformation of Internet, the international communications that enable every form of globalization, and the data transport superhighways that connect countries,



regions and continents would not have been possible without rapid development of submarine cables, in the last two decades. OTT service providers and hyper-scalers are driving the evolution of finance models for new submarine cable systems.

- 3. Once submarine cable system is laid, the landing provider either provides the terrestrial landing infrastructure such as the cable station and the front haul ducts, OR it may provide a full landing service, which would include being the license holder/owner of the cable in territorial waters. Both the scenarios have to be in compliance with the landing country's licensing, regulatory and security requirements, which gets met with an ILD licensee taking responsibility of such compliance.
- 4. The licensing and regulatory framework has to be flexible enough, to allow fair play of all the commercial arrangements which can ensure the security and interception requirements through an ILD license and establishing cable landing station with adequate interception systems.
- 5. Also, we would like to highlight that India is a vital connectivity point for submarine telecommunications infrastructure connecting points around the globe, and the Government should work collectively with the stakeholders, towards expanding its position as a global communications hub, by encouraging additional submarine cable landings and facilitating repairs.
- 6. It would be counter-productive to take a protectionist approach that focuses on Indian ownership instead, Government should ensure that cable owners, operators, and maintenance service providers are able to deploy and maintain their infrastructure expeditiously, leveraging existing time-tested zone arrangements with highly experienced specialized crews.
- 7. The conditions mentioned in the para 2.10 have been articulated in a way, with a presumption that only one condition should apply whereas there would be scenarios which would fall in each of the said conditions. Our comments in this regard as given below:
 - a. Condition (i) states:

"ILDOs should have X% or greater interest in the submarine cable system for laying cable in the Indian territorial waters, terminating the international cable and should also own or control the Cable Landing Station in India."



- i. Yes, there are certain cases where ILDOs would have x% or greater interest in the submarine cable system and this condition would help them to apply for clearances for laying/repair of submarine cable system.
- ii. However, imposing only condition (i) would mean that only those ILDOs who have deep-pockets and have invested in laying of submarine cables would be able to lay submarine cables in Indian territorial waters and also put up a cable landing station. This would greatly hamper competition in the country.
- iii. Further, it would put onerous condition for the investors of submarine cable system as well as discourage and restrict use of existing and future cables being built to India.
- iv. The submarine data cable which is primarily being aided by investments from tech giants/OTT players, provides boost to international connectivity and directly aids digitization in Indian economy. Imposing only condition (i) for ILD licensee shall hamper growth of global connectivity from India to outside world, isolate India from global infrastructure being laid and hence, would be counterproductive for the growth of nation.
- v. In our view, condition number (i) should not be mandated.

b. Condition (ii) states:

"ILDOs not having any stake in consortium but signing agreement of ownership of submarine cable in Indian waters and submitting undertaking that they are owning the asset in Indian territorial waters."

- i. Yes, there are certain cases where ILDOs do not have stake in consortium but, are owners of the submarine cables in Indian territorial waters through signing of ownership agreements. They can declare this through an undertaking to the DoT that they are owning the asset in Indian territorial waters.
- ii. This condition should also be allowed because it provides opportunity to the submarine cable systems being laid by global investors/tech giants, to enter into partnership with Indian ILDO and provide connectivity to India through their submarine cable systems connecting world. This would help other ILDOs also enter competitive activity through partnerships and collaboration, without investing huge sums of money in acquiring ownership in the global submarine cable systems.



c. Therefore, to provide flexibility and encourage use of global infrastructure, all the eligibility conditions especially (i) and (ii) as stated in Para 2.10 be made applicable. This means that the ILD licensee fulfilling either of the said conditions, be allowed to seek permission/security clearance for laying and maintaining the submarine cable and setting up CLS in India.

Q.3 Would an undersea cable repair vessel owned by an Indian entity help overcome the issues related to delays in undersea cable maintenance? Please provide justification for your answer.

And

Q.4 If the answer to the above question is yes, then please suggest possible mechanisms along with detailed justification and financial viability analysis for implementing this proposal.

VIL Comments to Q. no. 3 and 4

- Submarine Cable Capacities are critical digital connectivity resources used by various TSPs, OTT entities, hyper-scalers and different enterprises (BFSI, SME, start-ups etc.). The tides of submarine cable trends are changing rapidly amidst new investments and development and trends are increasingly shifting away from operator consortiums and more towards cable projects being led by Over-The-Top (OTT) hyper-scalers.
- 2. Monitoring and repairing commercial undersea telecommunication has generally been the responsibility of the private sector owner/operator(s) of those cables. When a cable is damaged, phone and internet service may be disrupted in certain regions on either end of the cable.
- 3. Considering the risks to submarine cables from human activities, natural calamities, etc., timely repairs are particularly critical, for which generally there are global contractual arrangements to ensure specialized skilled manpower and scalable/cost optimized resources. Numerous ships are built specifically for cable-related operations and are crewed by highly trained and experienced personnel. However, the ability of these cable ships to deploy quickly in India is hampered significantly by complex and time-consuming permitting processes.



- 4. We all are well aware of the fact that whenever a cable system goes down, the market loses a sizable chunk of international connectivity bandwidth and any sort of procedural delay in getting all the statutory government approvals poses following major risks:
 - a. The delay in restoring any cable capacity potentially may lead to a situation where some other cable may also go down during that period, further aggravating the capacity availability.
 - b. Submarine cable systems are unprotected fiber and higher restoration time necessitates users to build parallel capacities on other cable systems which leads to massive cost overrun.
 - c. Higher cable down times, mainly due to approval process delays, hampers investors' sentiments to build more cable landing systems in India.
- 5. In most parts of the world, this is a straightforward process which does not cause any delay to the repair process. The marine cable maintenance companies tend to have number of ships with specialist crews. The easing of restrictions on the ships and their crews to conduct repairs, would enable the industry to take better advantage of this expertise.
- 6. Therefore, it is critical that measures must be taken to resolve above issues and as explained above at comments to question no. 1. We request TRAI to look into and recommend simplifying current statutory and approval processes, which are too long and time consuming.
- 7. At the same time, an undersea cable repair vessel owned by an Indian entity would be helpful provided that there is no obligation on Cable and CLS owners/consortium members to mandatorily use the ship owned by the Indian entity to do cable repairs in Indian waters. Thus, this could be a useful supplement to existing arrangements (i.e. as an option), but not as a mandate. The proposed Indian Entity must have its own cable depot and technical know-how of submarine build and repair process.
- 8. Regarding the financial viability, it is recommended that the proposed Indian vessel entity can be a Government backed and funded consortium (public-private partnership). Such involvement of Government in the consortium shall help reduce lead time of approval processes substantially and provide carrier/competition neutral and un-prejudiced nature of operations.



Q.5 What measures should be undertaken for promoting Domestic submarine cables for connecting coastal cities in India? What limitations are being posed by existing licensing and regulatory provisions for laying domestic submarine cables in India? What are the changes required in the existing licensing and regulatory framework? Please answer in detail with the supporting document, if any.

VIL Comments to Q. no. 5

- Domestic submarine cables connecting the coastal cities are beneficial in terms of higher availability and provide a reliable alternate NLD paths for bulk usages such as Data Centre Connectivity, NLD Backbone Capacities etc.
- 2. In our view, NLD license which provides for laying cables in domestic geography, should also cover laying of domestic submarine cables in between coastal cities.
- 3. Laying of Submarine Cables is a capital intensive exercise hence, to promote laying of submarine cables to connect coastal cities, we recommend that Government should facilitate a participative platform for interested parties to (a) Impart Technical Knowledge and competencies and (b) Basic Infra and statutory approval facilitation as well as (c) an investment model with Government backed and funded Public Private Consortium, which enables Equitable Participation and Rights.

Q.6 Are any limitations being envisaged in respect of getting permissions and/or associated charges/ fee for laying domestic submarine cable and its Cable Landing Station? What are the suggested measures to overcome limitations, if any?

- The limitation in respect of laying domestic submarine cable system are similar to that for international cables, where multiple window approvals and clearances cause most of the delays and hence, increased project cost.
- 2. It is pertinent to again highlight that domestic cable capacity require quick restoration in case of any planned/unplanned outages. Hence, entire process of approvals and permissions to mobilize repair vessel, equipment and manpower have to be streamlined and eased. An Indian vessel would come handy here subject to most of the "must-have" approvals could be done away with.



3. The existing process are very slow leading to extensive delays and in many cases the approvals take years to process. The process needs to be streamlined and fast tracked with time-bound approval processes. Hence, it is critical for the Government to establish clear, stable, and transparent regulatory regimes that enhance regulatory certainty and ultimately encourage the timely deployment and repair of domestic submarine cables.

Q.7 Will it be beneficial to lay Stub-Cables in India? If yes, what should be the policy, licensing, and regulatory framework for laying, operationalizing, and maintaining the stub cable in India? Please answer in detail with the supporting documents, if any.

VIL Comments to Q. no. 7

- 1. Laying stub-cables in India could be helpful however, they have not come out as efficient or cost-effective.
- 2. These stub cables are thought to be useful for O&M activities in any future projects, but maintenance of stub cable is a cost as RoV- Remote Operated Vehicles are required to manage and locate stub cables. Also, it is not a practice that is used or considered necessary, in most of the other leading countries.
- 3. In our view, there should not be any obligation on planned new cable systems to India to use these stub cables as this could severely limit competition to provide access to submarine cable capability in India.

Q.8 What challenges are being posed by existing telecom licensing and /or any other framework for establishing terrestrial connectivity between different CLSs in India? What are possible solutions to such challenges? Please support your answer with detailed justification.

VIL Comments to Q. no. 8

1. Although a lot of progress has been made in reducing the access charges to submarine cable systems in India (the RIO charges), the cost of accessing cable capacity remains very high compared to rest of the world. This more than anything has limited the attractiveness of India as a hub for International cable capacity. Connecting cable capacity from Europe and/or the Middle East to cables from Asia is prohibitively expensive in a very competitive global market, where the cost of international capacity is reducing



substantially year on year. Based on its geographic location, and its abundance of relevant technical expertise, India should be one the world's leading hubs for international telecom traffic.

Inter CLS connectivity within India shall help manage international traffic better and shall
provide an alternate exit in case of a particular cable going down. However, this access
needs to be regulated in line with "RIO/AFC" policy ensuring hassle-free access at a
competitive price.

Q.9 In comparison with other leading countries, what further measures must be undertaken in India for promoting investment to bring submarine cable in India? Please answer in detail with the supporting documents, if any.

- 1. Kindly refer to our above comments to question number 1 and 2. It is important that the licensing and regulatory conditions are kept light-touch and flexible as well as processes to seek clearances are streamlined and fast tracked with time-bound approval processes.
- 2. Also, to encourage investment and utilization of the submarine cable systems and CLS, we recommend that the charges paid by a TSP to other TSP in this regard, be allowed as pass through/deduction for License Fees/SUC payments. Thus, following should be allowed as eligible deductions for TSPs:
 - a. Charges paid by one TSP to another TSP for sharing of its network.
 - b. Annual Access Facilitation Charges at CLS / Alternative Locations.
 - c. Annual Operation & Maintenance Charges at CLS / Alternative Locations.
 - d. Co-location charges.

| | First of Decreases | |
|-----|--------------------|---|
| XX- | XX | < |

Annexure-A

| SI. | PERMIT | AUTHORITY | EXISTING PRACTICES/ PROCEDURES | RECOMMENDATIONS |
|-----|--|--|--|---|
| No. | | | | |
| 1. | MHA (Ministry of Home Affairs)- Clearance for the Foreign national crew members | MHA (Ministry of Home Affairs) Application s routed through DoT , Ministry of Communica tions, New Delhi) | 3. Personal-Passport Details4. Advance Information SheetDoT issues MHA clearances upon receipt of MHA/IB clearances from Ministry, | Time taken is too long. No visibility of progress of application process No access to Agents. Only landing party/ Telecom agencies can apply to DoT. No visits allowed for checking with DoT. If one crew application is having issues from Embassy, whole application gets held up. The 'On line' process should give access to verify progress / status. Utilization of Technical / project crew, once cleared by MHA, should be permitted to be used in other projects also. |
| | | | Timeline – Minimum 3-4 Months | |
| 2. | MoD (Ministry of Defense) clearance for vessels deployed in Indian waters for project | MoD (Ministry of Defense)/ Navy Application s routed through DoT | companies | Time taken is too long. No access to Agents. Only landing party/ Telecom agencies can apply to DoT. The landing parties' agents should be able to approach directly to DoT. DoT does not provide any access to know progress of clearances. Needs to be considered. On – Line status should be available for applications in Portal. |

| | | | The RSEE Form and related documents should sign & stamp by the respective landing parties Project related documents Contract copy Map & coordinates of project/ laying/ repair area On scrutiny the MoD/ Navy issues confirmation to DoT DoT issues MoD clearance on their letterhead Timeline – Approx. 2-3 Months | |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| 3 | SPL (Specified Period License) for Vessels | Directorate General of Shipping (DG Shipping) | SPL necessary as per section 407 of MS Act 1958 for any Foreign | present. Needs e- governance module and should be 'on – line' submission with all documents. 3. Human Interface should be minimized. 4. Vessel owners need to ensure that all Vessel certificates are valid for the project duration and there is no need of extensions. Application has to be once for all. |

| | | | T | |
|---|-------------|-----------------|---|---|
| | | | SPL application submitted prior minimum three working days from the date of laycan. The late submission causes Late Fee. Timeline – Minimum 4 to 5 Working Days. | |
| | NIED /NI- | ONCC / UD | <u> </u> | 4. Bee to seed the library of all off for Cable |
| 4 | NED (Non- | ONGC / ILD | All onboard crew to have the NED Passes | 1. Requirement should be waived off for Cable |
| | Employee | (Indian Landing | | Ships employments as the crew / technicians are |
| | Duty Pass) | Party) | Documents required: | not being employed on ONGC or other oil |
| | clearance | | 1. NED application form | exploration installations. |
| | from | | 2. Crew's details | |
| | ONGC for | | 3. Copies Seaman book | 2. The crew are employed exclusively for particular |
| | the | | | Cable project and do not engage in ONGC |
| | onboard | | Clearance time: 02-03 working days. | platforms. |
| | crew of | | | |
| | Vessels | | | 3. This is only requirement of ODAG for NSC |
| | | | | inspections and requirement should be reconsidered. |
| 5 | Navigation | Indian Navy / | Application submitted to Navy by letter providing details as | The NAVAREA warning and NSC can be merged |
| | al Warning | HQ ODAG | follows :- | requirement and once NSC is done, NAVAREA should |
| | (NAVAREA | And | | follow. It can be joint application. |
| |) clearance | | 1. Block coordinates with cable fault coordinates | , ., |
| | for the | Directorate | 2. Details of other coordinates which vessel operating during | |
| | Vessels | General of | subsea cable route survey or repairs. | |
| | working in | Shipping (DG | , . | |
| | Indian | Shipping) | If the area coordinate do not come under Safety Fairways, HQ | |
| | Waters | (In case of | | |
| | | Safety | at Dehradun for issuance of navigational warning message. | |
| | (Provided | Fairways) | Clearance Time: 05 to 07 working days. | |
| | for | - / - / | 0 · · /· | |
| | navigation | | | |

| | al warnings to Ships in Indian waters) NAVAREA issued by National Hydrograp hic Office, Govt. of India | | If the coordinates come under Safety Fairways (TSS) then Navarea has to be routed through DG Shipping for their NOC first. Thereafter it goes to Navy / ODAG and then NHO Dehradun for issuance of warning messages. Clearance Time: 10 to 15 working days. | |
|---|--|-----------------|--|---|
| 6 | Naval Security Clearance (NSC) | HQ ODAG/Navy | Carried out by Navy team once MoD clearance signal is received. Application needs to be submitted to ODAG with following documents:- 1. Naval Inspection and Clearance application letter from ILD 2. MoD clearance letter copy for vessel from DoT 3. MHA Clearance copy for vessel from DoT. 4. SPL clearance letter from DGS 5. NOC from ONGC (only for Western Region) 6. NED passes 7. Copy of Hull & Machinery Insurance 8. Contract copy 9. Copy of Crew list 10. Compliance of V-SAT System Compliance certification. | 2. Combined application can be made for NAVAREA |

| | | | NSC application (file) to be submitted one week prior planned inspection date. NSC teams (ODAG) board the vessel at Port of c Clearance Time: 02 working days. | |
|---|---|------|--|---|
| 7 | ONGC NOC (No Objection Certificate) — applicable only for West Coast of India | ONGC | Applied to ONGC once MOD clearance is obtained with project details. Primarily to verify no project clashes of pipe lines occur in area. Documents required to be submitted by Landing Party: 1. Request letter from Indian landing party with Appendix (indicating Route Position List, Straight Line Diagram, Work Area Chart /Area Coordinate diagram / Map, Work Area Coordinates & Duration of Repair Work/Plan of Work) 2. Methodology of Submarine Fiber Optic Cable Repair Operation 3. Certificate of Class 4. Anchorage pattern 5. MoD clearance letter of DoT | Time taken is too long and should be considered for application and approval by e – mail. |
| | | | Clearance Time: 15-20 working days | |

| Customs - Vessel | Indian Customs / | In compliance with Section 46 of Custom Act 1962 an Importer needs to present Bill of Entry for goods for home consumption. | 1. | Process is too long & vessel is held up at port for Import/ Export formalities. |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---|-----|---|
| Importatio | CBEC (Ministry | In addition as per Customs Notification No. 34 / 2019 dated | 2. | Varying process at various ports. On East coast |
| n | of Finance, | 30 Sep, 2019, the Custom duty & IGST on the cable laying/ | | Conversion / Reversion are done prior Import/ |
| | Government | repair ops vessels are NIL subject to Condition 105 submitting | | Export. |
| | of India) | bond by the Importer reg. requirement of Importation of | 3. | Faceless assessment takes longer and many |
| | | Cable Ship work in Indian Customs waters. | | times outstation assessing officers do not fully |
| | | | | understand vessel's role and avoidable queries |
| | | | | are raised, which pertain to general 'goods'. This |
| | | Documents required: | | causes delay in getting assessments. |
| | | 1. IEC (Import Export Code) – of Importer | | |
| | | 2. GST Registration certificate of Importer | Pro | oposal: |
| | | 3. AD (Bank Authorization dealer code) from Importer Bank – | 1. | Need for adopt uniform process at all Indian |
| | | from ILD | | ports |
| | | 4. PAN (Permanent Account Number) of Importer – from ILD/ | 2. | May consider waiving off 'Faceless assessment' |
| | | importer | | for cable ships, in view of technical nature of |
| | | 5. Import Invoice Cum Packing List – from vessel owner | | work done by the vessels |
| | | 6. Vessel Invoice along with Appendix giving Specification of | 3. | Else if Faceless assessment is mandatory |
| | | Vessel & onboard equipment, spares, and consumables etc. | | requirement, it should be done at any other |
| | | 7. Invoice for onboard Bunker/Fuels and consumables/ Oil, | | station dealing with vessels and not general |
| | | Thinners Assorted, Grease & Chemicals, onboard Provision etc. | | goods. |
| | | 8. Invoice for onboard Marine Gas Oil (MGO) | 4. | Need to combine process of Import + |
| | | 9. Bill of Lading - | | conversion or Re- Export + Reversion together |
| | | 10. Technical Write-up/ Catalogue etc | | in order to cut time of vessel long stay at ports. |
| | | 11. Contract Copy – from ILD | 5. | At Many ports only Conversion or Reversion |
| | | 12. Chartered Engineer Certificate | | activities are done as the vessel call is for |
| | | - | | project period only. Import / Re-Export process |
| | | The importer needs to submit bond to Customs for condition | | can be cut to minimum. |
| | | 105 of the notification. | | |
| | | Procedure : | | |

• Bill of Entry submission with documents in Customs EDI | 6. Process needs to be simplified with aim to provide opportunity to trade towards 'ease of system doing business'. Bill of Entry scrutiny 7. May consider process of Vessel's Conversion Faceless assessment and Bill of Entry on basis of Self – declaration **Duty finalization** from the vessel and Bill of Entry can be filed Duty payment prior vessel's arrival in port for Custom Examination & approval Examination Out of Charges 8. Vessel may be permitted make self-declaration (same may be accepted by Customs) on **Clearance Time: 05-10 working days** completion of cable laying / repair work. On basis of declaration of consumable goods onboard, the Shipping Bill may be processed. This may reduce vessel's stay in port and the vessel may come only for one day for Customs Examination. 9. Notification 34/2019 dated 30 Sep, 2019 indicates applicability in Indian Customs Waters which may be considered only for Territorial waters, as definition of India, as per Customs Act 1962, includes only Territorial Waters.

| 9. | Customs - | Indian | All vessels deployed in Indian waters are also required to be |
|----|-----------|----------------|--|
| | Vessel | Customs / | converted to coastal run after importation. On the West Coast |
| | Conversio | CBEC (Ministry | this exercise is carried out. Conversion is completed only after |
| | n | of Finance, | the Importation process is completed and Out of Charge Bill of |
| | | Government | Entry is obtained. |
| | | of India) | · |
| | | | Documents required: |
| | | | 1. Complete Inventory of the vessel (6 copies) |
| | | | 2. Valid SPL Copy |
| | | | 3. Import Bill of Entry – Duty Paid and Out of Charge |
| | | | |
| | | | Procedure: |
| | | | 1. Conversion permission from DC(PG) |
| | | | 2. Processing Bill of Entry for consumables/ goods |
| | | | 3. Custom Boarding & Examination |
| | | | 4. Conversion approval & Certificate Issue |
| | | | |
| | | | Clearance Time: 02-03 working days. |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| 10 | Customs - | Indian | Vessel needs to come back to Port for Re-Export and Reversion | |
|----|------------|----------------|---|---|
| | Vessel re- | Customs / | Process | |
| | Export & | CBEC (Ministry | | |
| | Reversion | of Finance, | Re- Export Processed at Export dept. in Customs. Reversion | |
| | to Foreign | Government | process done at DC(PG) | |
| | going | of India) | | |
| | status | | Documents required: | |
| | | | 1. Re- export Invoices | |
| | | | 2. GR Waiver from Bank | |
| | | | 3. Import Bill of Entry – Duty Paid Challan | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Procedure: | |
| | | | 1. Processing of Shipping Bill through Customs EDI system | |
| | | | 2. Shipping Bill no. generated in System | |
| | | | 3. Re- export permission from DC(Export) | |
| | | | 4. Custom Boarding & Examination | |
| | | | 5. Issue of Let Export Order. | |
| | | | 6. Reversion process Scrutiny at DC (PG) once LEO issued. | |
| | | | 7. Certificate Issue | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Clearance Time: 01-02 working days. | |
| 11 | Port | Indian | Issued by Customs Export dept. after vessel's Re- export / | Needs to be available 24x7 basis. At times PC are |
| | Clearance | Customs | Reversion process once vessel is ready for departure | delayed due non – availability of Custom Officials. |
| | | | | |